UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,854 04/27/2005		Kazuhiko Honda	52433/791	1971	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 10/16/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADV	VAY		SAVAGE, JASON L		
NEW YORK, N	N I 1000 <del>4</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1794			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/16/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,854	HONDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JASON L. SAVAGE	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 September 2008. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), cappeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tr	ie issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alleged non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented.  Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuing sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794			
The state of the s			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant restates many of the same arguments from the Amendment filed 12-14-07. However, as was recited in the Final Rejection of 3-20-08, Applicant has not shown that the prior art articles would not contain the TiAl intermetallic in the recited phases as claimed. Applicant argues that the prior art does not contain the Ti-Al intermetallic compound at more than 10% among the claimed phases, that the Ti-Al intermetallic have a size less than 10 microns in the plating bath, or that Ti is dissolved as a supersaturation for crystallizing as Ti-Al intermetallic compound or is added as Ti-Al intermetallic powder in the plating bath, however these arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. As such, Applicant's request for reconsideration has not placed the application in condition for allowance. The amendments to the claims creates new combinations which were not previously considered that would require further consideration and/or search. Present claims 1 and 2 have the limitation from claims 5 and 6, respectively, added by amendment. As such, claims 7 and 8 which had not previously depended from claims 5 or 6 or claims containing the recited limitations create new combinations not previously considered..